An Implementation of a Positive Operator Valued Measure

Feng-Li Yan¹, Ting Gao², You-Cheng Li¹

¹ College of Physics and Information Engineering,
Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China

² College of Mathematics and Information Science,
Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050016, China

(Dated: February 9, 2008)

An implementation of the positive operator valued measure (POVM) is given. By using this POVM one can realize the probabilistic teleportation of an unknown two-particle state.

As a special case of the general measurement formalism, positive operator valued measure (POVM) provides the simplest means by which one can study general measurement statistics, without the necessity for knowing the post-measurement state. They are viewed as a mathematical convenience that sometimes gives extra insight into quantum measurements [1]. Some of the time, one can perform a POVM to distinguish the states, but never make an error of mis-identification. Of course this infallibility comes at the price that sometimes one obtains no information about the identity of the state [1].

Mor and Horodecki [2] used POVM to the problems of teleportation, which has been studied widely [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] and has a number of useful applications in quantum information and quantum computation [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44]. It was shown that a perfect conclusive teleportation can be obtained with any pure entangled states. Recently, we proposed a scheme [25] for probabilistic teleportation of an unknown two-particle state with a four-particle pure entangled state and the POVM. In Ref. [25] we only gave the POVM operators, but did not present the concrete implementation of the POVM. In this Letter we will study this problem in details, especially an implementation of the POVM will be presented.

The optimal POVM chosen by us in Ref. [25] contains five elements (here we use a simple form, there is only a little difference between them)

$$P_i = q^2 |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|; \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4); \ P_5 = I - q^2 \sum_{i=1}^4 |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i|,$$
 (1)

where

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle, \ |\Psi_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle, \\ |\Psi_3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle, \ |\Psi_4\rangle &= \frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle, \end{aligned}$$
(2)

I is an identity operator, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are nonzero real numbers with $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \frac{1}{\delta^2} = 1$, q is a coefficient relating to α, β, γ , and δ such that $1 \le \frac{1}{q^2} \le 4$, and makes P_5 to be a positive operator. Of course if we choose $q^2 = \frac{\mu^2}{4}$, where μ^2 is the smallest one in the set $\{\alpha^2, \beta^2, \gamma^2, \delta^2\}$, we can obtain the highest probability of successful teleportation of an unknown two-particle state [25].

In order to implement this \overrightarrow{POVM} , we construct a unitary operation U, which satisfies

$$U|\psi\rangle|000\rangle = \sqrt{P_1}|\psi\rangle|000\rangle + \sqrt{P_2}|\psi\rangle|001\rangle + \sqrt{P_3}|\psi\rangle|010\rangle + \sqrt{P_4}|\psi\rangle|011\rangle + \sqrt{P_5}|\psi\rangle|100\rangle$$
(3)

for an arbitrary two-particle state $|\psi\rangle$. Here the last three qubits are the auxiliary particles. When the unitary operator U has been performed, we can measure the auxiliary particles and obtain the measurement result. It is easy to see that if U is a unitary operator such that

$$U|00\rangle|000\rangle = \frac{q}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|000\rangle + \frac{q}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|001\rangle + \frac{q}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|010\rangle + \frac{q}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|011\rangle + u|00\rangle|100\rangle,$$

$$(4)$$

$$\begin{split} U|01\rangle|000\rangle &= \frac{q}{\beta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|000\rangle + \frac{q}{\beta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|001\rangle \\ &- \frac{q}{\beta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|010\rangle - \frac{q}{\beta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|011\rangle + v|01\rangle|100\rangle, \end{split}$$

$$U|10\rangle|000\rangle = \frac{q}{\gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|000\rangle - \frac{q}{\gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|001\rangle + \frac{q}{\gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|010\rangle - \frac{q}{\gamma}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|011\rangle + w|10\rangle|100\rangle,$$

$$(6)$$

$$U|11\rangle|000\rangle = \frac{q}{\delta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|000\rangle - \frac{q}{\delta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle + \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|001\rangle - \frac{q}{\delta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle + \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle - \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|010\rangle + \frac{q}{\delta}(\frac{1}{\alpha}|00\rangle - \frac{1}{\beta}|01\rangle - \frac{1}{\gamma}|10\rangle + \frac{1}{\delta}|11\rangle)|011\rangle + p|11\rangle|100\rangle,$$

$$(7)$$

then Eq. (3) holds. Here

$$u = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4q^2}{\alpha^2}}, \ v = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4q^2}{\beta^2}}, \ w = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4q^2}{\gamma^2}}, \ p = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4q^2}{\delta^2}}.$$
 (8)

For the sake of convenience we introduce the following parameters

$$s = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}, \ y = \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \delta^2}, \ z = \sqrt{\beta^2 + \gamma^2}, \ t = \sqrt{\gamma^2 + \delta^2}.$$
 (9)

A little thought shows that the following unitary operator

can satisfy Eq.(4)-(7). Here I_3 is a 3×3 identity matrix.

We can prove that U can be expressed as

$$U = M \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{s} & \frac{-\beta}{s} \\ \frac{-\beta}{s} & \frac{-\beta}{s} \end{pmatrix}_{1,10} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{y} & \frac{-\delta}{y} \\ \frac{-\delta}{y} & \frac{-\alpha}{y} \end{pmatrix}_{2,27} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{s} & \frac{\beta}{s} \\ \frac{\beta}{s} & \frac{-\alpha}{s} \end{pmatrix}_{3,12} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha}{y} & \frac{\delta}{y} \\ \frac{\delta}{y} & \frac{y}{y} \end{pmatrix}_{4,25}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{z} & \frac{\gamma}{z} \\ \frac{\gamma}{z} & \frac{-\beta}{z} \end{pmatrix}_{9,20} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{z} & \frac{-\gamma}{z} \\ \frac{-\gamma}{z} & \frac{-\beta}{z} \end{pmatrix}_{11,18} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma}{t} & \frac{-\delta}{t} \\ \frac{-\delta}{t} & \frac{-\gamma}{t} \end{pmatrix}_{17,26} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\gamma}{t} & \frac{\delta}{t} \\ \frac{\delta}{t} & \frac{-\gamma}{t} \end{pmatrix}_{19,28}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-t}{\gamma\delta} & \frac{-s}{\alpha\beta} \\ \frac{-s}{\alpha\beta} & \frac{t}{t} \\ \frac{-s}{\alpha\beta} & \frac{t}{\gamma\delta} \end{pmatrix}_{10,28} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-t}{\gamma\delta} & \frac{-s}{\alpha\beta} \\ \frac{-s}{\alpha\beta} & \frac{t}{t} \\ \frac{-s}{\alpha\beta} & \frac{t}{\gamma\delta} \end{pmatrix}_{12,26} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{\alpha\delta} & \frac{z}{\beta\gamma} \\ \frac{-z}{\beta\gamma} & \frac{-y}{\alpha\delta} \end{pmatrix}_{18,25} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{y}{\alpha\delta} & \frac{z}{\beta\gamma} \\ \frac{z}{\beta\gamma} & \frac{-y}{\alpha\delta} \end{pmatrix}_{20,27}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-2q}{\alpha} & u \\ u & \frac{2q}{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}_{5,28} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-2q}{\beta} & v \\ v & \frac{2q}{\beta} \end{pmatrix}_{13,27} \begin{pmatrix} w & \frac{-2q}{\gamma} \\ \frac{-2q}{\gamma} & -w \end{pmatrix}_{21,26} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2q}{\delta} & p \\ p & \frac{-2q}{\delta} \end{pmatrix}_{25,29}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{1,28} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{9,27} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{17,21},$$

$$(11)$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{1,2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{1,3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{1,4} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{2,4} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{2,3} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{3,4} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{9,10} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{9,11} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{9,12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{10,12} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{10,11} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{11,12} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{17,18} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{17,19} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{17,20} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{18,20} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{18,19} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{19,20} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{25,26} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{25,27} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{25,28} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{26,28} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix}_{26,27} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}_{27,28} \end{pmatrix}_{27,28}$$

$$(12)$$

Here $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \xi & \zeta \\ \zeta & -\xi \end{pmatrix}_{i,j}$ denotes a 32 × 32 unitary matrix or a two-level unitary matrix, where the matrix elements $\Omega_{i,i} = \xi, \Omega_{i,j} = \Omega_{j,i} = \zeta, \Omega_{j,j} = -\xi, \Omega_{l,l} = 1$, for $l \neq i,j$, and the other elements of matrix Ω are zero.

By making use of Gray codes one can construct a circuit implementing a two-level unitary operator Ω , where the circuit only consists of a number of controlled operations [1].

Barenco et al exhibited a general simulation of controlled operations using only one-bit gates and the two-bit controlled-not (CNOT) gates [45]. Combining the results obtained by Barenco et al. and the above decomposition of U we can give the explicit construction of the unitary operation U using one-qubit gates and two-qubit CNOT gates. For save space, we do not give an implementation of U in terms of one and two qubit operations and also do not depict out the quantum circuit illustrating the procedure of the implementation of the POVM.

In summary, an implementation of a POVM has been given. By using this POVM one can realize the probabilistic teleportation of an unknown two-particle state. We hope that this POVM will be realized by experiment, furthermore one will really see the probabilistic teleportation of an unknown two-particle state with a four-particle pure entangled state and POVM.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No: 10671054 and

Hebei Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No: A2005000140.

- [1] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L 2000 Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [2] Mor T and Horodecki P Preprint quant-ph/9906039
- [3] Bennett C H et al 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 1895
- [4] Bouwneester D et al 1997 Nature **390** 575
- [5] Boschi D et al 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 1121
- [6] Furusawa A et al 1998 Science 282 706
- [7] Gorbachev V N and Trubilko A I 2000 JETP 91 894
- [8] Lu H and Guo G C 2000 Phys. Lett. A 276 209
- [9] Bandyopadhyay S 2000 Phys. Rev. A 62 012308
- [10] Rigolin G 2005 Phys. Rev. A 71 032303
- [11] Deng F G, Li C Y, Li Y S, Zhou H Y and Wang Y 2005 Phys. Rev. A 72 022338
- [12] Deng F G, Li X H, Li C Y, Zhou P and Zhou H Y 2005 Phys. Rev. A 72 044301
- [13] Shi B S, Jiang Y K and Guo G C 2000 Phys. Lett. A 268 161
- [14] Zeng B, Liu X S, Li Y S and Long G L 2002 Commun. Theor. Phys. 38 537
- [15] Liu J M and Guo G C 2002 Chin. Phys. Lett. 19 456
- [16] Dai H Y, Li C Z and Chen P X 2003 Chin. Phys. Lett. 20 1196
- [17] Yan F L, Tan H G and Yang L G 2002 Commun. Theor. Phys. 37 649
- [18] Yan F L and Wang D 2003 Phys. Lett. A 316 297
- [19] Yan F L and Yang L G 2003 IL Nuovo Cimento 118B 79
- [20] Yan F L and Bai Y K 2003 Commun. Theor. Phys. 40 273
- [21] Gao T, Yan F L and Wang Z X 2004 Quantum Inform. Comput. 4 186
- [22] Gao T, Wang Z X and Yan F L 2003 Chin. Phys. Lett. 20 2094
- [23] Gao T, Yan F L and Wang Z X 2005 Chin. Phys. 14 893
- [24] Gao T 2004 Commun. Theor. Phys. **42** 223
- [25] Yan F L and Ding H W 2006 Chin. Phys. Lett. 23 17
- [26] Cirac J I and Zoller P 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 4083
- [27] Barenco A et al 1995 Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 4091
- [28] Bennett C H and Wiesner S J 1992 Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** 2881
- [29] Gisin N, Ribordy G, Tittel W and Zbinden H 2002 Rev. Mod. Phys. 74 145
- [30] Shimizu K and Imoto N 1999 Phys. Rev. A 60 157
- [31] Beige A et al 2002 Acta Phys. Pol. A 101 357
- [32] Boström K and Felbinger T 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 187902
- [33] Deng F G and Long G L 2004 Phys. Rev. A **69** 052319
- [34] Deng F G, Long G L and Liu X S 2003 Phys. Rev. A 68 042317
- [35] Yan F L and Zhang X Q 2004 Euro. Phys. J. B **41** 75
- [36] Gao T 2004 Z. Naturforsch **59**a 597
- [37] Gao T, Yan F L and Wang Z X 2005 J. Phys. A 38 5761
- [38] Gao T, Yan F L and Wang Z X 2005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 16 1293
- [39] Gao T, Yan F L and Wang Z X 2005 Chin. Phys. Lett. 22 2473
- [40] Man Z X, Zhang Z J and Li Y 2005 Chin. Phys. Lett. 22 18
- [41] Zhang Z J and Man Z X 2005 Phys. Rev. A **72** 022303
- [42] Zhang Z J, Yang J, Man Z X and Li Y 2005 Eur. Phys. J. D 33 133
- [43] Zhang Z J, Li Y and Man Z X 2005 Phys. Rev. A 71 044301
- [44] Cai Q Y and Li B W 2004 Chin. Phys. Lett. 21 601
- [45] Barenco A et al 1995 Phys. Rev. A 52 3457